




VAN PATTEN RIVERA
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
 17W: ECON 1 QIZ 1F: PRIN OF ECONOMICS
 No. of responses = 14
 Enrollment = 27
 Response Rate = 51.85%

1. Background Information:


1.1) Year in School:




1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:




1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?




2. Preliminary Questions:


2.1) Approximately how many times did you attend the assigned section?



2.2) If you primarily attended a section that is not assigned to you, what was your primary reason for doing so?




2.3) You saw the TA of the assigned section attending lectures.




3. To What Extent Do You Feel That:


3.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.



3.2) Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.



3.3) Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.



3.4)	Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 1 1 1 2 5 4	Very High or Always	n=14 av.=7.5 md=8 dev.=1.56
3.5)	Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never	0 0 1 0 0 2 1 4 6	Very High or Always	n=14 av.=7.71 md=8 dev.=1.73
3.6)	Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 3 0 4 2 5	Very High or Always	n=14 av.=7.43 md=7.5 dev.=1.55
3.7)	Value - The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 3 0 3 1 7	Very High or Always	n=14 av.=7.64 md=8.5 dev.=1.65
3.8)	Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never	0 0 0 0 1 1 3 4 5	Very High or Always	n=14 av.=7.79 md=8 dev.=1.25

4. Your View of Section Characteristics:

4.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low	3 9 1	High	n=13 av.=1.85 md=2 dev.=0.55 ab.=1
4.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow	0 12 1	Too Much	n=13 av.=2.08 md=2 dev.=0.28 ab.=1
4.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor	0 5 9	Excellent	n=14 av.=2.64 md=3 dev.=0.5
4.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor	2 7 2	Excellent	n=11 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0.63 ab.=3
4.5)	Homework assignments	Poor	1 8 4	Excellent	n=13 av.=2.23 md=2 dev.=0.6 ab.=1
4.6)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor	0 8 4	Excellent	n=12 av.=2.33 md=2 dev.=0.49 ab.=2
4.7)	Lecture presentations	Poor	0 5 8	Excellent	n=13 av.=2.62 md=3 dev.=0.51 ab.=1
4.8)	Class discussions	Poor	0 8 6	Excellent	n=14 av.=2.43 md=2 dev.=0.51

5. Comments:


- 5.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- Diana is very concerned about her students' success. She sends weekly, detailed PowerPoint presentations regarding the weeks' topics. She is very knowledgeable on the subject. I found her very helpful, and I wish her office hours would not have conflicted with my Chicano Studies class, I would have been there weekly. A great TA and a great person.
 - Good discussion.
 - I really enjoyed have Diana as my TA for Economics 1. She was very knowledgeable and always had a Powerpoint ready every discussion. She really helped enforce the concepts learned in lecture. I attended her office hours a handful of times and found that she was very approachable and always willing to help.
 - She effectively used slides to teach us the lessons for the week. I would definitely take her again
 - She was a little quick and did not have much student involvement, but she was extremely knowledgeable.
 - She was always very organized and expanded upon ideas if we needed her to do so. I didn't see any weaknesses
 - Sometimes her English was a little hard to understand, but her discussions were easy to follow and helped review the material.
 - TA taught a little too fast and would then have extra time at the end of class. Loved how knowledgeable she was. Also gave us the slides after discussion which made it nice to just focus on discussion while there and later take down notes.
 - The powerpoint slides made were really useful and whatever she went over in class was done so in a very clear and concise manner, but it would be even more effective if she would slow down a little bit instead of rushing through everything.
 - The real strengths of this teaching assistant and course was her slides. They were very simple and talked about the the main ideas of the lecture slides which were pretty overwhelming. The weaknesses was that there was not a lot of student interaction.
 - You could tell she cared about her students and wanted to help everyone learn

Profile

Subunit: **ECON**
 Name of the instructor: **VAN PATTEN RIVERA**
 Name of the course: **17W: ECON 1 QIZ 1F: PRIN OF ECONOMICS**
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

3. To What Extent Do You Feel That:



4. Your View of Section Characteristics:

